

**Meeting Minutes
Board of License Commissioners
Caroline County, Maryland**

DATE: Wednesday, May 22, 2019

PLACE: Health & Public Services Building
403 S. 7th Street, 1st Floor, Room 110
Denton, Maryland 21629

BOARD MEMBERS: Greg Eigenbrode, Chairman
Nick Loukides, Vice-Chairman
Glen Plutschak, Member
Michael Mann, Alternate

OTHERS PRESENT: Crystal Dadds, Asst. Director of Codes
Heather Price, County Attorney
Phillip Moore, Alcoholic Beverage Inspector
Melanie Smith, Administrative Assistant to the Boards

Chairman Eigenbrode called the meeting of the Caroline County Board of License Commissioners to order at 9:31 a.m. Chairman Eigenbrode announced that the agenda will be amended to hear the Sheldon's show cause hearing first.

SHOW CAUSE HEARING

SHELDONS 313 – 11568 Greensboro Rd, Greensboro, MD

Chairman Eigenbrode swore in:

Rodney & Jay Sheldon, 27348 Sandtown Road, Goldsboro, MD
Steven Dial, 13348 Quiet Hollow Court, Wye Mills, MD

Ms. Dadds read the summons' into the record. The license holders were summoned to appear before the Board for an alleged violation of purchasing alcoholic beverages from other than a licensed manufacturer.

Ms. Dadds read the following exhibits into the record:

- Exhibit 1 – Summonses for Rodney Sheldon Sr. and Jay Sheldon
- Exhibit 2 – Pre-Transfer Inspection Report
- Exhibit 3 – Violation History for Sheldon's 313
- Exhibit 4 – Invoice from Republic National Distributing dated 12/30/15

Inspector Moore read the Pre-Transfer Inspection Report from May 1, 2019.

Ms. Sheldon recalled that the champagne bottles the Inspector found was tucked away in a corner behind boxes since it was purchased in 2015. She chuckled, stating that Sheldon's was not a champagne type of tavern. She was surprised the Inspector hadn't noticed it before. She found the receipt and handed it over to Ms. Dadds. It would not have been included in the records the Inspector reviewed because it was purchased more than two years ago.

Mr. Dial, whom the business is being transferred to, was able to shed some light on the incident. He explained that his wife has been doing a thorough cleaning of the place and moving things around in a way that better suits the new owners. This is why the Inspector may have seen it for the first time. There were more bottles tucked away that Mr. Dial has since destroyed.

Inspector Moore advised the new owners to keep records on site of any liquor that may be found in the store. This would protect them in the future.

Ms. Price explained to the Board that this is an unusual circumstance. Because the owners were unable to produce a receipt at the time of the inspection, Inspector Moore acted correctly by reporting it as a violation. The license holder was able to produce the receipt that explained the Inspector's findings.

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to find Sheldon's 313 not in violation because they were able to produce a receipt.

Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.

In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Chairman Eigenbrode announced that the agenda will be changed to hear Dave's Place request to add their porch back as part of their licensed premise.

DAVES PLACE – 207 E. 6th Street, Ridgely, MD - Request to Use Porch

Chairman Eigenbrode swore in:

Lucille Ball, 9144 Honeysuckle Drive, Easton, MD 21601,
Ron LeGates, 280 Troon Road, Dover, DE 19904

Ms. Ball's letter to the Board from May 2, 2019 requested that the Board lift the suspension that took effect October 2019, so she can include her porch as part of her licensed premise again. She maintained that she has not had any trouble since then and asked for forgiveness for the remainder of the suspension. She complained that her business had slowed because of the suspension.

Inspector Moore stated that he had inspected her establishment a few times since October and no issues were found. He added that the music was not overly loud. He talked with Ms. Ball about possibly enclosing the porch with a tent type of material with a window similar to the one used in Delaware at the Texas Roadhouse Restaurant. It would contain people and limit access from

three entrances to one. He warned Ms. Ball to call the Fire Marshal's before making any changes to ensure they are compliant.

Ms. Dadds read the decision for the violation Ms. Ball was charged with last October. It was her fourth offense of Caroline County Rule & Regulation §2.08(b) of not policing the outside premise area and allowing loitering and drinking outside of the licensed premise.

Mr. Plutschak stated that he would like to talk to the Ridgely Chief of Police before he would consider her request. Mr. Mann pointed out that the problem with the porch enclosure is the access at the end of the porch. He recalled that the Board suggested several times, different options to gate off access to two of three porch entrances to prevent further violations. Ms. Ball never took their advice and by the fourth violation, the Board was forced to suspend the area.

Ms. Ball excused herself by stating that preventing access to the entrances would prevent staff access to the kitchen. Mr. Mann responded that there was a simple solution to that. He advised them that the porch enclosure they were considering was expensive. The solution the Board would have been satisfied with was much cheaper, but Ms. Ball never acted on it. He reminded her that this was why she was suspended in the first place.

Mr. LeGates said all right, but they are there today for a decision that the Board will or will not approve the porch. Mr. Plutschak stated that if they wanted the Board to consider their request they must bring in a plan and allow them to hear from the Chief of Police.

Ms. Price suggested postponing the hearing for a month, which would allow the Chief opportunity to testify. It would also allow Mr. LeGates and Ms. Ball time to choose the product they will use and draw a site plan for the expansion.

Ms. Price explained that the reason that there have not been any further problems with drinks out in the parking lot is because of the porch area being removed resulting in no drinks taken outside of the building. They would need to give the Board a compelling argument as to how Dave's Place would prevent the behavior in the future when they were unable to do so in the past. Mr. LeGates stated that it had a lot to do with staffing issues at the time. He was told to bring that argument to the next meeting with all the other information they are requesting so it can be done.

Mr. LeGates stated that the problems they had were only on Friday and Saturday nights. He thinks the Board should permit the use Monday through Thursday and also on Sunday. He would like them to consider that before they leave today. He said he doesn't see why they should have any problem approving this.

Ms. Price stated that the problem is that they were suspended for a year for repeated violations. Mr. LeGates argued that their problem was only on Friday and Saturday nights and the motion before them was to approve the use at all times except Friday and Saturday night after 9 pm. After a month of that they can come back with the plan and information they are requesting. He said this would help them out a lot.

The Board was not comfortable approving their request. Ms. Dadds suggested they submit a written request with the site plan attached and information about what mechanism they will use. Ms. Dadds added to also bring an approval letter from the Fire Marshal's Office for the proposed plan. Inspector Moore will contact the Ridgely Police Department.

SHOW CAUSE HEARING

DENTON SHELL – 1103 Industrial Park Way, Denton, MD

Chairman Eigenbrode swore in:

Muhammad Chaudhry, 5975 Federalsburg Highway, Federalsburg, MD 21632,
Shazad Chaudhry, 5975 Federalsburg Highway, Federalsburg, MD 21632.

Ms. Dadds read the summons' and the exhibits into the record.

Exhibit 1 – Summonses for Faisal Farooq, Nasreen Chaudhry and Shazad Chaudhry

Exhibit 2 – Incident Report dated 3/21/19

Exhibit 3 – Violation History for Denton Shell

The following alleged violations were found:

CHARGE NO. 1 - Caroline County Board of License Commissioners Rule and Regulation (CC BLC RR) No. 2.01(a), specifically, failure to present an up-to-date valid alcohol awareness certificate to the Alcoholic Beverage Inspector upon request.

CHARGE NO. 2 – CC BLC RR No. 2.17(b), specifically, employee records were not available upon request by the Alcoholic Beverage Inspector.

CHARGE NO. 3 – CC BLC RR No. 2.36, specifically, records of two years of transactions in alcoholic beverages were not available for inspection by the Alcoholic Beverage Inspector.

Inspector Moore read the Incident Report from March 21, 2019.

Mr. M. Chaudhry handed the Inspector a folder to review. Since the inspection Mr. M. Chaudhry gathered all the required information into this folder and will have it available at the front counter.

Mr. M. Chaudhry stated that on the day of the inspection his regular employee called him at 2 pm to say that he was having a heart attack. He asked Asher Wani, an employee of his Queen Anne store, to take the 3 pm shift. Mr. M. Chaudhry expected him to arrive at the store by 3:30 pm.

He complained that the Inspector did not give them anytime to get the paperwork together. The other person at the store was Mr. M. Chaudhry's son who is seventeen years old and not allowed to sell alcohol. He is not on the payroll. He asked if someone training an employee is also

considered an employee. He argued that if a technician was standing there would he be considered an employee?

He insisted that his son was only sitting there to help the new employee that was only there because of an emergency. He expected Inspector Moore to wait for him to travel from his store in another County with the paperwork.

Inspector Moore reiterated that his son would be considered casual labor in accordance with the definition of employee contained in the Board's rules and regulations. Mr. M. Chaudhry insisted he was not because he was not on the payroll.

Ms. Price read §2.17 of the Rules & Regulations where it states, "This is intended to mean that not even a family member under the age of eighteen may be engaged in the sale or dispensing of alcoholic beverages"; "Employees shall be defined to mean all permanent, full- and part-time employees as well as all temporary or casual labor who handles alcoholic beverages."

Mr. Plutschak pointed out that this was the third time he has been before them for the same offense. In August of 2018 then in September and again today. He said it is easy enough to fix by keeping the records on display. Mr. M. Chaudhry insisted that it was only because of an emergency that this happened.

Mr. Plutschak asked why the alcoholic beverage transaction paperwork was not available. Mr. M. Chaudhry stated that the CPA had delivered the documents, but he wasn't there to hand it to the Inspector. Mr. Plutschak pointed out that they should be available for the Inspector at all times.

Mr. M. Chaudhry expressed discomfort about having Social Security numbers and drivers licenses available to his employees. He will do as the law requires but did not feel that it was a safe thing to do.

Ms. Dadds suggested that the Board could discuss amending that rule at the next hearing. She invited Mr. M. Chaudhry to attend if he wished to testify on behalf of the amendment. He asked that they also reconsider the rule about having the alcohol sales record available at all times. He did not want his employees to have access to that information although, he did admit that the employee receiving the shipment would have access to it at that time.

Inspector Moore responded that Mr. M. Chaudhry did not even have the list of employee names available that day. Mr. M. Chaudhry replied that he was only missing the name of the employee in question. Inspector Moore questioned whether he considered his son as an employee? Mr. M. Chaudhry said that was correct, his son is not an employee.

Mr. Mann stated again that employees, according to the rules and regulations, include casual labor; receiving a paycheck is not the definition. Mr. M. Chaudhry argued again. He at one point stated that he was taking the Inspector's actions personally. Mr. Mann assured him that the laws he must follow are the same laws every establishment in the County must follow. He will not be excused from this responsibility as a license holder.

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to find Denton Shell in violation of CC BLC RR No. 2.01(a) for failing to present an up-to-date valid alcohol awareness certificate to the Inspector upon request.
Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to find Denton Shell in violation of CC BLC RR No. 2.17(b) for not having employee records available upon the Inspector's request.
Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to find Denton Shell in violation of CC BLC RR No. 2.36 for failing to provide the alcoholic beverage records upon the Inspector's request.
Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to fine Denton Shell \$1500 for a third offense of the violation of CC BLC RR No. 2.01(a) for failure to present an up-to-date valid alcohol awareness certificate to the Alcoholic Beverage Inspector upon request.
Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
In Favor: The motion was approved (2-0); Chairman Eigenbrode voted Nay.

Motion: Mr. Loukides motioned to give Denton Shell a letter of reprimand for failure to provide employee records.

Ms. Dadds asked the Board to discuss this and asked that if they were going to vote outside of the Matrix they should list their reasons for doing so. Mr. Plutschak pointed out that unlike the first charge, this was considered a major violation. Both Mr. Loukides and Chairman Eigenbrode felt that a letter of reprimand should be issued.

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to give Denton Shell a letter of reprimand for failure to provide employee records. He reasoned that it appears the son was indirectly involved in the sale and he could see there may be some ambiguity about casual labor in the rules and regulations, furthermore this is his first offense.
Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

- Motion:** Mr. Loukides motioned to give Denton Shell a letter of reprimand for failure to provide alcoholic beverage records because Mr. M. Chaudhry produced them for today's hearing and did in fact have them available at another store.
- Second:** Mr. Plutschak seconded the motion.
- In Favor:** The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Mr. Plutschak pointed out to Mr. M. Chaudhry that he was unequally fortunate to walk out of today's hearing with letters of reprimands for two violations that are considered serious violations and would normally be fined \$1000 for a first offense.

He added that the \$1500 fine and an additional \$50 administrative fee is due 30 days from today. Ms. Dadds stated that a letter including this information will be mailed out to him the next day.

LICENSE TRANSFERS

WALKER'S GROCERY STORE– 18230 Henderson Road, Marydel, MD

Chairman Eigenbrode swore in:

Jitendra Desai, 27302 Jenny Hye Lane, Marydel, MD 21649

Ms. Dadds read the Notice of Public Hearing into the record. She explained that the application was submitted as a result of a violation hearing at a previous meeting. The business is changing from one owner, Deep Desai, to a partnership with his father Jitendra Desai. If approved the applicant still needs to pay the transfer fee and staff was waiting for a response from the Comptroller's Office. Ms. Price approved the application for legal sufficiency.

Chairman Eigenbrode clarified that the transfer will not wipe out the violation history for Walker's store. Ms. Dadds stated that 60% of the business will belong to Jitendra M. Desai and 40% will belong to Deep Jitendra Desai.

- Motion:** Mr. Loukides motioned to approve the transfer provided that there are no problems with the Comptroller's Office and the transfer fee is paid.
- Second:** Mr. Plutschak seconded the motion.
- In Favor:** The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

ROADHOUSE 313 – 11568 Greensboro Road, Greensboro, MD

Chairman Eigenbrode swore in:

Steve and Joanne Dial, 13348 Quiet Hollow Court, Wye Mills, MD 21679,
Ernest Bradley, III, 328 Carter Avenue, Denton, MD 21629

Ms. Dadds read the Notice of Public Hearing into the record. The current business holds a Class D, beer, wine and liquor, on-premise and a Class D, beer, on/off-premise license. The new owners

are only requesting to transfer the on-premise license. The Board was aware of the intended transfer when Mr. Mullins was involved. Ms. Dadds stated that the license application included in the Board's package only lists Mr. Bradley as the license holder. Initially when Mr. Mullins started the application process the plan was for Mr. Bradley to be the only license holder, therefore the LLC was set up in accordance with that plan. Mr. Mullins has recently pulled out of the business altogether and since that time Mr. & Mrs. Dial have become owners of the business and are required by law to be license holders. The application has since been updated to include both Mr. & Mrs. Dial as applicants.

Ms. Dadds stated that background checks have been completed for all three applicants and no criminal history was found. Mr. Bradley has been a resident of Caroline County for at least 2 years and has been a registered voter since 2005. The applicants have provided a copy of their business license, Health Department permit, alcohol awareness certifications, proof of filing for the federal tax stamp and the consent form to transfer. The applicants still need to obtain additional signatures on the petition as seven of the signatures did not comply with the requirement of being a landowner and registered voter in that district. Ms. Price needs to review the LLC paperwork for legal sufficiency. They must also submit a release from the Comptroller's Office for sales and use tax, Workers' Compensation Insurance Certificate and obtain a bulk transfer permit. Ms. Dadds explained to the Board that these items are not required for the hearing and would be obtained if they were granted approval.

Mr. Plutschak questioned if the Sheldon's still owned the building. The property was sold to John Mullins and Steven Dial on November 1, 2018.

Mr. Plutschak asked if the applicants had received a copy of the rules and regulations. Inspector Moore replied that he had completed the pre-transfer inspection, and everything was compliant which would include the requirement of having a copy of the rules and regulations at the establishment.

Mr. Plutschak asked the applicants if they had any questions for the Board. Mr. Dial had questions about the process for obtaining approval for the sale of alcoholic beverages outside in the rear fenced in area. Currently the establishment is only permitted to consume the alcohol outside with all sales occurring inside the building. In the event of an influx of business for an event, they are interested in having a bartender outside selling beer in tubs in the fenced area. With the summer months ahead, Mr. Dial stated that the outside area is a huge source of income and he would like to capitalize on this area. He has discussed this idea with Inspector Moore who advised him that he would need to make a request for this modification to the Board.

Ms. Dadds stated that the current restrictions are that alcohol is not sold outside; it must be purchased from the bar inside and carried outside for consumption. An exit only gate in the fence was required by the Fire Marshal so that patrons could exit the fenced area, but no one could enter the fenced area from the parking lot. Any events to be held outside were to be brought before the Board for approval.

Inspector Moore reminded the Board about their previous discussions involving outdoor events, especially those which had live bands. Ms. Dadds stated that there is a residence located directly behind the outdoor area. Mr. Dial explained that they have an event planned in June to have a

band outside from 5-9 pm. They have reviewed the Town of Greensboro's noise ordinance and would be operating in accordance with the required times. Mr. Dial stated that the reputation of the bar in the past was bad and they want to change that. Ms. Dadds asked Mr. Dial to describe to the Board what type of events that he wanted to hold. Mr. Dial stated that he has already had clientele ask about using the fenced area for a birthday party. He would also like to host fundraising events for the community, have bands and hold cornhole tournaments. It would be easier to have a beer tub set up outside so that you wouldn't have 30-40 people coming in and out of the bar to get drinks.

Inspector Moore explained that Mr. Dial has approached him about having various events at the establishment and Mr. Dial is aware that events are approved by the Board. Mr. Dial asked the Board to clarify for him what type of activity would be considered an event. Ms. Dadds explained that the Board made an amendment (No. 2018-03) to the Rules and Regulations stating that a licensee desiring to use the establishment for any type of special show, event or activity for any reason other than for what it is normally intended must submit a written request and receive written approval from the Board.

She explained that establishments were shutting down their normal operations to have events or to play music. To define the amendment, language was added, "For purposes of this rule, special show, event or activity is an event held outside and/or an event held inside the licensed premises that causes an interruption of normal business activities."

Chairman Eigenbrode explained that it wasn't necessary that the licensee attend a hearing to receive permission for an event but that the Board is notified of an event. Ms. Dadds offered an example of having a birthday party at the establishment while normal business was taking place and tables are not taken down would not be considered an event. Chairman Eigenbrode understands this to mean that having a band would not be outside of their normal activity. He desired to have this answer be clear to the licensee before the end of the hearing.

Ms. Price stated that playing music was a little trickier because it has the potential of disturbing the neighbors. Chairman Eigenbrode recalled music was a problem for the neighbors in the past. Although the previous owners erected the fence to allow patrons to smoke outside, the expansion led to outdoor functions and music became an issue for the neighbors. That is when the Board decided that outside music would require special approval.

Ms. Price suggested that there is a noise ordinance within the County that Mr. Dial should be familiar with as a licensee. It gives an allowable audible standard and addresses amplified music. She recalled that Mr. Dial had contacted the Town of Greensboro regarding their noise ordinance, however, his establishment is within County jurisdiction.

Ms. Price read the amendment as any *activity held outside, or, an activity held inside that interrupts normal business* is a special use that needs the Boards written approval. Chairman Eigenbrode asked if that was "outside" of the premise or "outdoors". Ms. Price believes they intended it to mean "outdoors".

Ms. Price suggested Mr. Dial discuss today some of the events he intends to have over the summer to receive approval now. For instance, weekly cornhole tournaments. Mr. Mann stated

that the event Mr. Dial was requesting for June included outside sales of beer. Chairman Eigenbrode felt that he could support the request for an outside trough to sell beer during cornhole events. He would expect special approval be received for any music played outside.

Mr. Dial responded that they were interested in having a band once a month. When they looked at the property to purchase, he understood the fenced in area was part of the premise and he had great plans to capitalize on this asset.

Ms. Dadds suggested they look at each request individually. She suggested they first respond to the request to have beer sold outside at the cornhole events.

Mr. Plutschak was wary to approve this; they were moving too fast for his comfort. He asked that they slow down while they become familiar with all the Rules & Regulations, so they don't unintentionally violate one of them and come before them at the start. He desires for them to succeed and this would be his advice to them. He would like to build a trusting relationship with them before applying increased responsibility to him as a licensee.

Ms. Price suggested the Board could do a trial approval if they wished. Mr. Loukides suggested they could also limit sales to bottled beer outside. Ms. Price also suggested he notify his neighbors of outside music events for a response beforehand. Inspector Moore knew of other jurisdictions that place newspaper ads requesting input from neighbors about having outdoor music on specific day and at specific times as listed.

It would give anyone that objects an opportunity to present their concern before him at a Board hearing. This would show Mr. Dial taking the initiative to respect his neighbor's peace and enjoyment.

The meeting was side tracked and Ms. Price suggested they return their focus to the original request for approval to transfer a license. Secondly, they could consider his request for a music event on June 1, 2019 and have him attend the June meeting to discuss future events.

Mr. Dial stated that the original plan for the June 1st event was to have the band play inside and they will stick with that plan. They did have two more events planned for June that he would like to present to the Board. One of them is a 63rd birthday party for local gentleman and the other is a cancer fundraiser.

He continued that he wants to improve the reputation of the establishment that the prior owners created. And lose the stigma that he inherited with the bar. He was flattered that the gentleman wanted to have his party in their backyard and he sees this as an opportunity to show locals the changes they have made. He would welcome a trial run as Ms. Price suggested.

Ms. Dadds asked them to expound on their request for serving beer outside. Mr. Dial and Mr. Bradley suggested that an owner will always be present when they serve beer outside. It will be cans and bottles purchased with cash only. They have been in conversation with Inspector Moore and feel confident that they have his support.

Inspector Moore, upon inspection of the premises, found it to be much brighter and cleaner than it was when he purchased the business. He agreed that they have talked often about what they can do and what to look out for as a new license holder. He reminded them that he welcomes 50 phone calls with questions over one incident of a violation.

The Board asked that they pay close attention to the rules regarding games of chance. Particularly, how prizes are distributed. Inspector Moore reminded them that this is a Tavern as opposed to the restaurant that they were involved in at 404 Taphouse.

Ms. Dadds asked about their business plan. Mr. Dial said they are currently closed on Sundays but intend to open for a trial run once they have staff. Monday, Tuesday and Wednesdays are pool league nights, so they will open at 4 pm and stay open until about midnight. On Fridays they will open earlier around 3 pm and stay open until 2 am. They will have four employees besides the three owners. Windy is the manager.

The three owners were initially "silent partners" of the business. But circumstances suddenly changed, and they are now active owners. They have turned their expectations around and are now excited about the potential they see in this business.

Ms. Dadds vouched that the Dials have handled the roller coaster events of transferring this license like pros.

Motion: Mr. Plutschak motioned to approve the transfer provided all required documents are submitted.

Second: Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.

In Favor: The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Ms. Dadds explained to the licensees that this approval is good for 60 days. If for any reason they cannot submit the documents within those 60 days, they will be required to come before the Board to request an extension.

The members then discussed the request for summer events at Roadhouse. Mr. Dial agreed that the initial event scheduled for the first week of June will be held inside and drinks will be purchased inside.

After that, events should be requested in writing and the request given to Inspector Moore or Ms. Dadds at least five days in advance of the event. They can have a beer cash tub outside as long as the tub is owner monitored. The members also approved the corn hole and fundraising event scheduled for the end of June.

Music will be considered separately. They will be required to place an ad in the paper asking for neighbors input on listed events that include outside music. Ms. Dadds suggested neighbor notices be mailed similarly to how neighbors are notified for special use requests for the Board of Zoning Appeals. Everyone agreed that music should end by 9 pm.

They will ask the owners to be on the agenda in September to discuss how it went with the 90-day trial.

- Motion:** Mr. Plutschak motioned to approve outdoor events (cornhole, fundraising) under the conditions that tubs of beer sold outside is for cash only and monitored by an owner at all times. They must notify Inspector Moore or Ms. Dadds at least 5-days in advance of the event. This approval is good for 90-days. They will be scheduled for the September meeting to discuss the events of this trial period.
- Second:** Mr. Loukides seconded the motion.
- In Favor:** The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

Inspector Moore explained that he will do a post-transfer inspection and they can expect to see him during the holidays and special events.

Ms. Dadds advised them that for the music event they plan to hold the end of June should be advertised in the June 12 and June 19th Times Record. Therefore, it must be submitted to the paper no later than June 5th.

Mr. Dial reiterated that they should give the Inspector a written schedule of events for the summer.

CONSENT AGENDA

The consent agenda included the following documents:

April 2019 Liquor Board Minutes
Amendment No. 2019-02 – Selling alcohol without a license.

- Motion:** Mr. Loukides motioned to approve the consent agenda.
- Second:** Mr. Plutschak seconded the motion.
- In Favor:** The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).

OTHER BUSINESS

Chairman Eigenbrode offered to postpone the other business on the agenda, Reckoning Periods and Rules of Procedure update to the July meeting.

- Motion:** Mr. Loukides motioned to postpone the remaining two agenda items to the July hearing.
- Second:** Mr. Plutschak seconded the motion.
- In Favor:** The motion was unanimously approved (3-0).


INSPECTORS REPORT

Inspector Moore updated the Members on his progress and reports for May. He also briefed them on the annual conference he and Ms. Dadds attended.

There being nothing further to discuss Chairman Eigenbrode adjourned the meeting at 11:50 am.

BOARD OF LICENSE COMMISSIONERS

Greg Eigenbrode, Chairman


Minutes prepared by: Melanie Smith